## Information Meeting on Evrysdi®

### Agenda



Moderator: Toshiya Sasai, Head of Corporate Communications Dept., Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

1. Overview of Evrysdi<sup>®</sup>

Hideto Kodaira, Evrysdi Lifecycle Leader,

Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

2. Evrysdi<sup>®</sup>, a New Treatment Option for Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA): The First Oral SMA Drug

Kayoko Saito, MD, PhD,

Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University

3. Q&A Session

## **Overview of Evrysdi**<sup>®</sup>

September 27, 2021 Hideto Kodaira Evrysdi Lifecycle Leader Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

## Product Profile of Evrysdi

Therapeutic category: Treatment for spinal muscular atrophy

Product name/ Generic name:



Packaging:







4

(Illustrative example)



SMN: Survival Motor Neuron Source: Evrysdi Appropriate Use Guide [Mechanism of Onset of SMA and Mechanism of Action of Risdiplam] Prepared on the basis of Swoboda KJ. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121: 2978-81.

#### Mechanism of Onset of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA) and Mechanism of Action of Evrysdi (risdiplam) (2)





(Illustrative example)

Source: Evrysdi Appropriate Use Guide [Mechanism of Onset of SMA and Mechanism of Action of Risdiplam] Prepared on the basis of Swoboda KJ. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121: 2978-81.

### Contribution of Evrysdi to SMA Treatment

Evrysdi is the first oral treatment for SMA.

- ① Taken orally daily, the drug acts to increase SMN protein levels.
- ② Efficacy and safety were evaluated in clinical studies over a wide range of patients from infants to adults, leading to regulatory approval.
- ③ Administration of Evrysdi requires no hospitalization. This is expected to reduce the time and financial burden on patients and their caregivers. In particular, the feature may offer increased convenience for patients who are working or studying.



## History of Evrysdi Development

November 2011	Roche enters into license agreement with PTC Therapeutic	S
January 2016	Roche begins a Phase I clinical study	
October	Part 1 of SUNFISH study (Phase II portion) begins	
December	Part 1 of FIREFISH study (Phase II portion) begins	
February 2017	Phase I clinical study in Japanese patients	
	(residing in the United States) begins	
October	Part 2 of SUNFISH study (Phase III portion) begins	
March 2018	Part 2 of FIREFISH study (Phase III portion) begins	
December	PRIME (PRIority MedicinEs) designation in the EU	
March 2019	Orphan drug designation in Japan	
August 2020	US Approval	
March 2021	EU Approval	
June	Japan Approval	



## Indication

### Indication Spinal muscular atrophy

### **Precautions Concerning the Indication**

- 1. Evrysdi should be administered to patients who have deletions or mutations in the *SMN1* gene and at least 1 copy of the *SMN2* gene as shown by genetic testing.
- 2. Efficacy and safety have not been established in patients with 1 copy or 5 or more copies of the *SMN2* gene. If using Evrysdi in these patients, the risks and benefits should be considered before starting treatment, and patients should be closely monitored.
- 3. Efficacy and safety have not been established in patients with permanent ventilation. If using Evrysdi in these patients, patients should be closely monitored, and efficacy should be evaluated regularly to decide whether treatment should be continued. If no response is observed, treatment should be discontinued.
- 4. The efficacy and safety of Evrysdi in preterm infants and infants less than 2 months of age have not been established. The clinical study in patients with type I spinal muscular atrophy was conducted in term infants aged 2 months or older to investigate pharmacokinetics, efficacy, and safety.



### **Dosage and Administration**

Dosage and Administration

For patients 2 months to less than 2 years of age, the usual dosage is 0.2 mg/kg risdiplam administered orally once a day after a meal.

For patients at 2 years of age or older, the usual dosage is 0.25 mg/kg risdiplam for those weighing less than 20 kg, and 5 mg risdiplam for those weighing 20 kg or more, both administered orally once a day after a meal.

Precautions Concerning Dosage and Administration

- 1. The patient should drink water after taking Evrysdi to prevent the drug from remaining in the mouth.
- The safety and efficacy of concomitant use of Evrysdi and other drugs for spinal muscular atrophy have not been established. Therefore, concomitant use should be avoided.



9

### **Approval Conditions**

- 1. A risk management plan should be formulated and implemented appropriately.
- 2. Given the very limited sample sizes in clinical studies in Japanese patients, conduct a post-marketing all-patient drug use surveillance for a certain time to understand the background information of the patients using the product and to, in the near term, collect data on the safety and efficacy of the product, implementing necessary measures to ensure the appropriate use of the product.



### Summary of Risk Management Plan (RMP) of Evrysdi

Safety Specification				
Important identified risks	Important potential risks	Important missing information		
<ul> <li>Not applicable</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Retinal toxicity</li> <li>Embryofetal toxicity</li> <li>Effects on male reproductive function</li> <li>Epithelial tissue disorders</li> </ul>	<ul> <li>Safety in SMA type 4 patients and patients with 5 or more copies of the <i>SMN2</i> gene</li> <li>Effects on QT/QTc interval</li> <li>Safety in premature infants</li> </ul>		

Routine

Additional

#### Pharmacovigilance plan Collection and evaluation of individual cases ٠ Routine Research reports: Collection and evaluation of publications, etc. Reports of non-Japanese action plans: Collection and evaluation of information on measures taken outside Japan Signal detection and evaluation using approaches including data ٠ mining techniques for adverse events (including deaths) Early post-marketing phase vigilance Additional

- General drug use surveillance (all-patient surveillance)
- Postmarketing clinical study: Postmarketing clinical study continuing from Phase II/III studies (BP39055 and BP39056)
- QTc study (BP42817)

#### **Risk minimization plan**

- Create (revise) a package insert
- Medication guide for patients
- Provide information through early post-marketing phase vigilance



Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.

Information Meeting on Evrysdi<sup>®</sup> Dry Syrup, a New Treatment for SMA September 27, 2021

### Evrysdi<sup>®</sup>, a New Treatment Option for Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA): The First Oral SMA Drug



### Kayoko Saito, MD, PhD Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University

## **COI Disclosure**

Kayoko Saito, MD, PhD

<u>Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics,</u> <u>Tokyo Women's Medical University</u>

Companies with which I have an interest warranting disclosure in relation to this presentation:

(1) Consultant:	None
(2) Shareholding/profits	: None
(3) Patent royalties:	None
(4) Speaker fees:	Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd.,
	Novartis Pharma K.K., Biogen Japan Ltd.
(5) Manuscript fees:	Novartis Pharma K.K., Biogen Japan Ltd.
(6) Contract research/jo	int research: Biogen Japan Ltd.
(7) Scholarship donation	s: None
(8) Posting in funded de	
(9) Recipient of other for of remuneration:	ms None

A disease with progressive weakening of the muscles

## Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)?

\* Spinal Muscular Atrophy



Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)? (July 2021) (Supervised by Dr. Kayoko Saito, Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University)

### What is Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)?

 Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a disease with progressive weakening of the muscles.

Those with SMA may have the following symptoms and conditions:



Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)? (July 2021) (Supervised by Dr. Kayoko Saito, <sup>15</sup> Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University)

## **Types of SMA**

- SMA affects a wide rage of ages, from infants to adults, and is classified into five types from Type 0 to IV.
- The disease is progressive. The severity and rate of progression vary depending on SMA type.
- SMA is sometimes identified because the movement of the affected individual is inconsistent with their level of development



Adapted from Health and Labor Sciences Research Grants (Research Program on Rare and Intractable Diseases): Research Report of the Fiscal 2017 Neurodegenerative Disease Research Team (Apportioned).



 $\therefore$  A large proportion of patients show symptoms before 18 months of age (types I and II) <sup>1)</sup>.

About 1 to 2 people per 100,000 develop SMA when they are infants or children <sup>2</sup>).

1) Health and Labor Sciences Research Grants (Research Program on Rare and Intractable Diseases): Research Report of the Fiscal 2018 Neurodegenerative Disease Research Team (Apportioned).

2) Information Center for Specific Pediatric Chronic Diseases. 38 Spinal Muscular Atrophy.

https://www.shouman.jp/disease/details/11\_17\_038/ (accessed on July 1, 2021).

Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)? (July 2021) (Supervised by Dr. Kayoko Saito, Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University)

## Symptoms of SMA (1)

 With muscular weakness as the primary feature, SMA involves symptoms of the disease itself and complications arising from SMA.

#### Symptoms of SMA

Examples include motor symptoms such as an inability to sit without support and poor walking ability as well as difficulty moving the arms and legs due to lack of strength, twitching of the tongue and fingertips, and a weak cry.



Source: Uchiyama S, ed. Standard Pediatrics. 8th ed. Igaku-Shoin Ltd.; 2013. p. 674.

Manual for the Management of SMA Authoring Committee, ed. Manual for the Management of Spinal Muscular Atrophy. 1st ed. Kimpodo, Inc.; 2012. Japan Intractable Diseases Information Center. Spinal Muscular Atrophy (designated intractable disease 3). https://www.nanbyou.or.jp/entry/135 (accessed on July 1, 2021). Wang CH, et al. J Child Neurol. 2007;22:1027-49.

## Symptoms of SMA (2)

#### Symptoms arising from SMA (complications)

Particularly critical complications include symptoms related to breathing, eating and swallowing, and movement and posture.



As the disease progresses, those with SMA type II, or with type III who are no longer able to walk, begin to have joint contracture and lose the ability to extend and bend the knees, ankles, elbows, and other joints.

type III who lose the ability to walk in early adolescence experience weakening of the muscles around the spine, which can result in undulating, lateral curvature of the spine.

Manual for the Management of SMA Authoring Committee, ed. Manual for the Management of Spinal Muscular Atrophy. 1st ed. Kimpodo; 2012. Japan Intractable Diseases Information Center. Spinal Muscular Atrophy (designated intractable disease 3). https://www.nanbyou.or.jp/entry/135 (accessed on July 1, 2021). Wang CH, et al. J Child Neurol. 2007;22:1027-49.

> Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)? (July 2021) (Supervised by Dr. Kayoko Saito, Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University)

### Time Course of Motor Function of Japanese SMA Patients by Type

Subjects: 112 patients with SMA 7 months to 57 years of age who consented and completed the questionnaire among 196 enrolled patients Methodology: Questionnaire Duration: July 2014 to July 2016

- **8** Climbing stairs
- 7 Walking independently
- 6 Walking with support
- **5** Standing with support
- 4 Shuffling in sitting position
- **3 Turning on buttocks**
- 2 Sitting
- 1 Head control possible
- 0 No head control

Tracheostomy/respir atory support

0

n=112 Type III 23 Type II Type I 12 24 36 **48** 60 Age (months)

Source: Kaneko, Saito et al. B&D, 2017, 763-773 19 42

47

### **Diagnostic Criteria for SMA (1)**

#### **Diagnostic Handbook for Specific Pediatric Chronic Diseases**

Diagnosis of SMA requires a work-up of the clinical symptoms and genetic testing to confirm SMN gene mutations.

#### Diagnostic Procedures

#### I. Major clinical symptoms

- Delayed motor development (types I and II) 1.
- Hypotonia
- Progressive muscular weakness (required)
- 2. 3. 4. Fasciculation of fingers and tongue
- 5. Weak to absent deep tendon reflex

#### II. Clinical symptoms absent in SMA

- Convulsions 1.
- 2. Enhanced deep tendon reflex
- 3. Pathological reflex present

#### **III.** Major test findings

- 1. Neurogenic findings such as high-amplitude and polyphasic potentials in electromyography
- 2. Survival motor neuron (SMN) gene mutations (Include report) (required)

#### **IV.** Other supportive findings

- Joint contracture/scoliosis 1.
- 2. Eating/swallowing disorders
- 3. Respiratory disorders

#### SMA is confirmed by the absence of the symptoms in II and presence of the symptoms in I and III-2.

#### Project inclusion criteria

Ongoing movement disorder or ongoing treatment with at least 1 of the following: cardiotonic agent, diuretic, anti-arrhythmic agent, peripheral vasodilator, beta blocker, pulmonary vasodilator, respiratory management (i.e., need for mechanical ventilation, tracheostomy, nasal airway), oxygen therapy, total parenteral nutrition, tube feeding

### **Diagnostic Criteria for SMA (2)**

MHLW Research Committee on Designated Diseases (Neurodegenerative Disease Research Committee)

#### SMA is diagnosed according to these Designated Intractable Disease criteria when the condition or age is outside the scope of Specific Pediatric Chronic Diseases.

A. Clinical findings	C. Differential diagnosis
(1) Presence of lower motor neuron involvement due to loss and	(1) Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
degeneration of ventral horn cells	(2) Spinal and bulbar muscular atrophy
Muscular weakness	(3) Brain tumors and spinal cord diseases
(Symmetrical, proximal muscles > distal muscles, lower limbs	(4) Cervical spondylosis, intervertebral disc herniation, brain and
> upper limbs, trunk, and limbs)	spinal tumors, syringomyelia, etc.
Muscle atrophy	(5) Peripheral neurological diseases
Fasciculation of tongue and fingers	(6) Polyneuritis (inherited or non-inherited), multifocal motor
	neuropathy, etc.
Weak to absent tendon reflex	(7) Muscle diseases: Muscular dystrophy, polymyositis, etc.
(2) Absence of upper motor neuron involvement	(8) Infection-related lower motor neuron disorders: Post polio
(3) Progressive course	syndrome, etc.
	(9) Paraneoplastic syndrome
B. Laboratory test findings	(10) Congenital multiplex arthrogryposis
(1) Serum creatine kinase (CK) $\leq$ 10 times upper limit of normal	(11) Neuromuscular junction disorders
(2) Neurogenic findings such as high-amplitude and polyphasic	D. Genetic tests
potentials in electromyography	The following mutations are present:
(3) Motor neuron conduction velocity $\geq$ 70% lower limit of	(1) SMN1 gene deletion
normal	(2) <i>SMN1</i> gene point mutation or micromutation
	(3) IGHMBP2 mutation
	(4) Other gene mutation
Diagnostic categories:	
Definites (1) Lower motor neuron involvement (2)	no unner motor neuron involvement (2)

Definite: (1) Lower motor neuron involvement, (2) no upper motor neuron involvement, (3) progressive course, criteria B1 to B3 met, and all conditions in C ruled out. Definite: (1) Lower motor neuron involvement, (2) no upper motor neuron involvement, (3)

Definite: (1) Lower motor neuron involvement, (2) no upper motor neuron involvement, (3) progressive course, criterion D met, and all conditions in C ruled out.

21

### Number of SMA Patients in Japan: Results of an Epidemiological Investigation

Survey of clinical situation of spinal muscular atrophy in Japan, conducted from January 30 to March 31, 2018 Neurodegenerative Disease Basic Surveillance Team, MHLW (Head Investigator: Kenji Nakashima)

Questionnaires were sent to 1,936 departments of neurology and pediatrics and specialist institutes throughout Japan, and 1,005 were returned (51.9%)

### Incidence

**0.51 per 10,000 live births** → **1 per 20,000 live births** 95% CI, 0.32-0.71

### **Incidence of SMA type I**

**0.27 per 10,000 live births** → **1 per 40,000 live births** 95% CI, 0.17-0.38

### **Prevalence**

### **1.17 per 100,000 people** → **1 per 100,000 people** 95% CI, 0.89-1.45

## Number of Patients by Age at Onset

Survey of clinical situation of spinal muscular atrophy in Japan, conducted from January 30 to March 31, 2018 Neurodegenerative Disease Basic Surveillance Team, MHLW (Head Investigator: Kenji Nakashima)



Ito M, Yamauchi A, et al. Epidemiological investigation of spinal muscular atrophy in Japan. Brain Dev. 2021, in press 23

### Number of Patients by Age and Disease Type

Survey of clinical situation of spinal muscular atrophy in Japan, conducted from January 30 to March 31, 2018 Neurodegenerative Disease Basic Surveillance Team, MHLW (Head Investigator: Kenji Nakashima)



## How is SMA Managed?

- SMA is managed by treating the cause of the disease and providing care for complications.
- Patients being treated must not be overexerted and must be monitored for infections.



Have You Heard of Spinal Muscular Atrophy (SMA)? (July 2021) (Supervised by Dr. Kayoko Saito, Professor of Special Appointment, Institute of Medical Genetics, Tokyo Women's Medical University)

### **Unmet Needs in SMA Treatment**

- Patients may have to wait a long time for a definitive diagnosis and cannot receive treatment.
  - ✓ There is a low awareness of SMA
  - The initial symptoms are easy to overlook
  - Differential diagnosis is time consuming, as many diseases have similar symptoms
- Lack of treatment opportunities for patients who cannot take other medicines due to age or their scoliosis.
  - Gene therapy indicated for patients younger than 2 years
  - ✓ Spinal injection is not feasible in some patients with severe scoliosis
- Patients may be reluctant to start medication
  - Balancing life (schooling or work) with treatment
  - Treatment (hospitalization or hospital visits) is time consuming
  - Treatment is available only at specialist institutes

## Gene Causing SMA = SMN Gene

France: Lefebvre and Melki, Cell. 1995;80:155-65.



#### SMN=survival motor neuron

### **Mechanism of Onset of SMA and** Mechanism of Action of Evrysdi (risdiplam)

(Illustrative example)



Adapted from Swoboda KJ. J Clin Invest. 2011; 121: 2978-81.

Data for evaluation in regulatory review [SMN protein function and action of the SMN2 splicing modifier risdiplam in spinal muscular atrophy]

Source: Appropriate Use Guide for Evrysdi Dry Syrup 60 mg (August 2021 revision)

## Site of Action of Risdiplam



ESE2: exonic splicing enhancer 2, mRNA: messenger ribonucleic acid, SMN: survival motor neuron, ss: splice site

### **Clinical Trials of Evrysdi (Pivotal Trials)**



Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type I Patients (BP39056 Study)] partially modified Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type II and III Patients (BP39055 Study)] partially modified

#### Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH study)

#### **Study Synopsis: Design and Administration Procedures**

Part 2



# Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH Study) Patient Baseline Characteristics

		Evrysdi arm (n=41)
Age at enrollment (months)	Median (range)	5.3 months (2.2-6.9)
	≤ 5 months	19 (46.3%)
	> 5 months	22 (53.7%)
Sex	Female	22 (53.7%)
	Male	19 (46.3%)
Race	Asian	14 [34.1%, 1 (2.4%) of whom was Japanese]
	Caucasian	22 (53.7%)
	Unknown	5 (12.2%)
Region	EU	24 (58.5%)
	China	11 (26.8%)
	Others	6 (14.6%)

# Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH study) Study Synopsis: Endpoints

Primary endpoint	Percentage of patients sitting without support for 5 seconds at Month 12 (assessed per Item 22 of the BSID-III Gross Motor Scale) $^{*1}$ * <sup>2</sup>	
Secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Percentage of patients achieving a CHOP-INTEND total score of 40 points or more at Month 12</li> <li>Percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline in CHOP-INTEND total score of 4 points or more at Month 12</li> <li>Percentage of motor milestone responders as assessed by HINE-2 at Month 12*3</li> <li>Percentage of patients achieving attainment levels of a subset of motor milestones as assessed by HINE-2 at Month 12*4</li> <li>Percentage of patients alive without permanent ventilation at Month 12*5</li> <li>Percentage of patients alive at Month 12</li> <li>Percentage of patients able to feed orally at Month 12</li> <li>Safety and tolerability</li> <li>Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, etc.</li> </ul>	
Exploratory endpoints	<ul> <li>Percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline of at least 0.3 mV in CMAP (ulnar nerve) negative peak amplitude at Month 12</li> <li>Number of admissions per patient-year at Month 12*6</li> <li>Percentage of patients who had not been admitted at Month 12, etc.</li> </ul>	

\*1 The BSID-III Gross Motor Scale was used to make assessments in a modified order beginning with sitting assessment (the primary endpoint of Part 2).

\*2 Patients not achieving sitting, patients not maintaining previously achieved sitting, study discontinuations, and deaths were considered non-responders.

\*3 Responders were those who had a greater number of motor milestone improvements than worsening. Motor milestone improvement was defined as a  $\geq$  2 points increase [or maximum score] in ability to kick, or  $\geq$  1 point increase in the motor milestones of head control, rolling, sitting, crawling, standing, or walking. Motor milestone worsening was defined as a  $\geq$  2 points decrease [or minimum score] in ability to kick, or  $\geq$  1 point decrease in the motor milestones of head control, rolling, sitting, crawling, standing, or walking. Voluntary grasping was not included in the definitions.

\*5 Permanent ventilation was defined as tracheostomy or  $\geq$  16 hours of non-invasive ventilation per day or intubation for > 21 consecutive days in the absence of, or following the resolution of, an acute reversible event.

\*6 This includes all hospitalizations of  $\geq$  2 days.

BSID-III : Bayley Scales of Infant and Toddler Development - Third Edition, CHOP-INTEND : Children's Hospital of Philadelphia Infant Test of Neuromuscular Disorders

HINE-2 : Hammersmith Infant Neurological Examination 2

CMAP: Compound muscle action potential. The summated action potentials reaching individual muscle fibers when the nerve trunk is stimulated via the skin.

Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type I Patients (BP39056 Study )]

<sup>\*4</sup> The 8 items of head control, sitting, voluntary grasping, kicking, rolling, crawling, standing, and walking were assessed.

# Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH study) Study Synopsis: Analysis Plan

- All 41 cases were included in the ITT and safety analysis populations, with key efficacy analysis performed in the ITT population and safety analysis performed in the safety analysis population.
- The data cutoff date (November 14, 2019) was defined as the time when the last enrolled patient completed the evaluation at Month 12.
- For some endpoints, natural history data was used to preset the success criteria for efficacy endpoints and compared the success criteria with the lower bounds of the 90% confidence intervals on both sides (corresponding to one-sided test with alpha=0.05).
- Confidence intervals calculated using the Clopper-Pearson method
- The Kaplan-Meier method was used for the time-to-event type endpoint.

#### Analysis plan

- The p-value for long-term ventilator-free survival was calculated by the Z-test, and the p-values for other endpoints were calculated by the exact binomial test (one-sided p-value, one-sided, alpha=0.05)
- To control the multiplicity between endpoints, apply the hierarchical test procedure to the primary and four main secondary endpoints and a lower test were performed only if the upper test is significant (right figure)
- The hierarchical test included the evaluation items at Month 12, followed by the evaluation items at Month 24 (sitting without support for 30 seconds, standing without support, walking).
- A subpopulation analysis was performed based on the pre-specified age, gender, race, region, duration of illness (duration from onset to the start of Evrsydi treatment), and baseline motor function level (CHOP-INTEND total score). [Primary endpoint: percentage of patients sitting without support for 5 seconds at Month 12, secondary endpoint: Percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline in CHOP-INTEND total score of 40 points or more at Month 12, Percentage of patients alive without permanent ventilation management at Month 12]



Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type I Patients (BP39056 Study )]

#### Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH study) Clinical Pharmacology Data

#### SMN Protein Production: Type I SMA (children, Japanese and non-Japanese data)

Blood SMN protein levels at baseline and the last observation [median (range)] were 2.93 ng/mL (0.423-5.8) and 5.37 ng/mL (0.761-9.39), and the change from baseline at the last observation [median (range)] was 2.01 fold (0.9-4.06).



#### SMN protein following risdiplam treatment in Type I SMA

**Assessment methods:** Patients 2 to 7 months of age with Type I SMA were started on once daily oral risdiplam (0.04 mg/kg for patients > 2 to < 3 months, 0.08 mg/kg for patients  $\geq$  3 to < 5 months, and 0.2 mg/kg for patients > 5 months)\*, and changes in SMN protein were assessed at baseline after escalation to a dose of 0.2 mg/kg and at the last observation.

\*Nursing patients were given treatment after breastfeeding and other patients were given treatment while eating.

#### 6. Dosage and Administration (excerpted)

For patients 2 months to less than 2 years of age, the usual dosage is 0.2

mg/kg risdiplam administered orally once a day after a meal.

Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type I Patients (BP39056 Study )]

#### Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of FIREFISH study)

### Primary endpoint Percentage of patients sitting without support for 5 seconds as assessed by BSID-III at Month 12

 The percentage of patients sitting without support for 5 seconds was 29.3%. This demonstrated the superiority of Evrysdi over the predefined 5% achievement criterion based on natural history.



Assessment methods: The ability to sit without support for 5 seconds was assessed by Item 22 of the BSID-III Gross Motor Scale. Patients not achieving sitting, patients not maintaining previously achieved sitting, study discontinuations, and deaths were considered non-responders.
 Natural history: Untreated patients with Type I SMA cannot maintain a sitting position without support<sup>1-3</sup>.
 1) Finkel RS, et al. Neurology. 2014; 83: 810-7. 2) De Sanctis R, et al. Neuromuscul Disord. 2016; 26: 754-9. 3) Kolb SJ, et al. Ann Neurol. 2017; 82: 883-91.

36
## Secondary endpoint Percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline in CHOP-INTEND total score of 4 points or more at Month 12

 The percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline in CHOP-INTEND total score of 4 points or more was 90.2%. This was statistically significantly higher than the predefined 17% achievement criterion based on natural history.



Evrysdi arm

**Assessment methods:** The percentage of patients achieving a CHOP-INTEND total score of at least 40 and percentage of patients achieving an increase from baseline in CHOP-INTEND total score of 4 points or more were calculated.

**Natural history:** A cumulative analysis of 3 natural history studies in patients with Type I SMA identified in papers published from 2010 to May 2019 revealed that 2 of 30 patients with two *SMN2* gene copies had a CHOP-INTEND total score of at least 40 points at baseline<sup>1</sup>). The change in total scores, which differed according to the time of onset and severity, ranged from -1.71 to -1.02 points/month<sup>1</sup>). 1) Mercuri E, et al. Orphanet J Rare Dis. 2020; 15: 84.

Secondary endpoint

## Percentage of patients alive without permanent ventilation at Month 12

 The percentage of patients alive without permanent ventilation was 85.4%. This was statistically significantly higher than the predefined 42% achievement criterion based on natural history.



Assessment methods: Times to death or the initiation of permanent ventilation were plotted on a Kaplan-Meier curve, and the proportions of affected patients were estimated. Permanent ventilation was defined as tracheostomy or  $\geq$  16 hours of non-invasive ventilation per day or intubation for > 21 consecutive days in the absence of, or following the resolution of, an acute reversible event. Patients for whom neither death nor initiation of permanent ventilation was reported by the data cutoff date were censored as of the final day before the cutoff date on which survival without permanent ventilation was confirmed.

**Natural history:** A prospective natural history study in 34 patients with Type I SMA registered with the United States Pediatric Neuromuscular Clinical Research Network for SMA from May 2005 to April 2009 revealed median event-free survival in the 23 patients with 2 *SMN2* gene copies to be 10.5 months (either death or requiring at least 16 hours/day of ventilation support)<sup>1</sup>). In a prospective natural history study of 34 patients with Type I SMA diagnosed from November 1996 to November 1999 in the Netherlands, 25 of the patients died by age 1 and 2 of the patients survived beyond age 2<sup>2</sup>).

1) Finkel RS, et al. Neurology. 2014; 83: 810-7. 2)Cobben JM, et al. Neuromuscul Disord. 2008; 18: 541-4.

38

#### Secondary endpoint **Percentage of patients able to feed orally at Month 12**

 82.9% of the patients were able to feed orally. (There were no natural history-based achievement criteria.)



Assessment methods: The percentage of patients able to feed orally was calculated.

**Natural history:** A prospective natural history study in 34 patients with Type I SMA registered with the United States Pediatric Neuromuscular Clinical Research Network for SMA from May 2005 to April 2009 revealed that 24 patients required nutritional support (nasogastric tube or gastrostomy tube) at baseline and that 19 of the 20 patients at least 12 months of age at enrollment were initiated on nutritional support<sup>1</sup>.

1) Finkel RS, et al. Neurology. 2014; 83: 810-7.

#### 6. Dosage and Administration (excerpted)

For patients 2 months to less than 2 years of age, the usual dosage

is 0.2 mg/kg risdiplam administered orally once a day after a meal.

Source: Materials of Evrysdi for evaluation in regulatory review [Global Phase II/III study in SMA Type I Patients (BP39056 Study )]

#### Adverse Events and Adverse Drug Reactions (ADRs)

	Evrysdi arm (n=41)
Number of patients with ADR/Number of ADRs*	7 (17.1%)/12 events
Skin and subcutaneous tissue disorders	3 (7.3%)/4 events
Maculopapular rash	2 (4.9%)
Skin discolouration	2 (4.9%)
Gastrointestinal disorders	2 (4.9%)/2 events
Constipation	2 (4.9%)
Blood and lymphatic system disorders	2 (4.9%)/2 events
Eosinophilia	1 (2.4%)
Neutropenia	1 (2.4%)
Infections and infestations	1 (2.4%)/1 event
Upper respiratory tract infection	1 (2.4%)
Respiratory, thoracic and mediastinal disorders	1 (2.4%)/1 event
Pulmonary hypertension	1 (2.4%)
Investigations	1 (2.4%)/2 events
Aspartate aminotransferase increased	1 (2.4%)
Neutrophil count decreased	1 (2.4%)

- Adverse events: 41 patients (100%)/254 events
- Serious adverse events: 24 patients (58.5%)/48 events Common serious adverse events were pneumonia in 13 patients (31.7%) and bronchiolitis, respiratory failure, and hypotonia each in 2 patients (4.9%). Each event was reported to be unrelated to Evrysdi.
- Adverse events leading to withdrawal: No such events were reported in the study.
- Adverse drug reactions: 7 patients (17.1%)/12 events Common adverse drug reactions were maculo-papular rash, skin discoloration, and constipation each in 2 patients (4.9%).
- Serious adverse drug reactions: No such events were reported in the study.
- Adverse drug reactions leading to withdrawal: No such events were reported in the study.
- Deaths: 3 patients (7.3%)

Each death was attributable to SMA-related respiratory complications and was reported to be unrelated to Evrysdi.

#### Safety analysis set

#### MedDRA version 22.1

\*Multiple occurrences of an adverse drug reaction in the same patient were counted individually when calculating the total number of events and number of events classified by system organ class. Multiple occurrences of an adverse drug reaction in the same patient were counted only once when calculating the number of adverse drug reactions classified by preferred term.

### **Study Synopsis: Design and Administration**

Part 2



#### Placebo-controlled, double-blind treatment phase Blinded treatment phase





#### \*Type II and non-ambulant (unable to walk at least 10 m without support) type III SMA patients

\*1 Randomization factor: Age at randomization (2-5, 6-11, 12-17, 18-25 years)

\*2 Unblinding for the primary analysis was conducted after the Month 12 assessment of the last subject was completed, but blinding in terms of the initially assigned arm was maintained for the subjects and investigators until the last subject completed the Month 24 assessment. Blinding was also maintained until this time at the study sites for all personnel in direct contact with the subjects (excluding the pharmacists handling the investigational medicinal product).

### Patient Baseline Characteristics (1) Note: The clinical study results shown below represent the data of the primary analysis in Part 2.

		Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)
	Median (range)	9.0 years(2-25)	9.0 years(2-24)
	2-5 years	37(30.8%)	18(30.0%)
Age in years at screening	6-11 years	39(32.5%)	18(30.0%)
Screening	12-17 years	30(25.0%)	16(26.7%)
	18-25 years	14(11.7%)	8(13.3%)
Sov	Female	61(50.8%)	30(50.0%)
Sex	Male	59(49.2%)	30(50.0%)
	Caucasian	80(66.7%)	41(68.3%)
Race	Asian	23 [19.2%, 10 (8.3%) of whom were Japanese]	12 [20.0%, 5 (8.3%) of whom were Japanese]
	Others	3(2.5%)	0
	Unknown	14(11.7%)	7(11.7%)
	EU	81(67.5%)	43(71.7%)
	North America	16(13.3%)	6(10.0%)
Region	Japan	10(8.3%)	5(8.3%)
	China	11(9.2%)	5(8.3%)
	Others	2(1.7%)	1(1.7%)

## **Patient Baseline Characteristics (2)**

	Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)
Type II	84(70.0%)	44(73.3%)
Type III	36(30.0%)	16(26.7%)
2	3(2.5%)	1(1.7%)
3	107(89.2%)	50(83.3%)
4	10(8.3%)	8(13.3%)
Unknown	0	1(1.7%)
set: Median (range)	12.3 months(0-57)	12.8 months(6-135)
	106.3 months(17-275)	96.6 months(1-271)
Able	13(10.8%)	6(10.0%)
Unable	107(89.2%)	54(90.0%)
Able	3(2.5%)	1(1.7%)
Unable	117(97.5%)	59(98.3%)
	76(63.3%)	44(73.3%)
Severe (> 40°)	34(28.3%)	23(38.3%)
islocation	26(21.7%)	11(18.3%)
	Type III 2 3 4 Unknown set: Median (range) n onset to start of edian (range) Able Unable Able Unable	Type II       84(70.0%)         Type III       36(30.0%)         2       3(2.5%)         3       107(89.2%)         4       10(8.3%)         Unknown       0         set: Median (range)       12.3 months(0-57)         n onset to start of edian (range)       106.3 months(17-275)         Able       13(10.8%)         Unable       107(89.2%)         Able       13(10.8%)         Severe (> 40°)       34(28.3%)

\*1 The ability to stand was defined as having an MFM item 25 score of  $\geq$  1 at baseline.

# 5. PRECAUTIONS CONCERNING INDICATIONS (excerpted) 5.1 EVRYSDI should be administered to patients who have deletions or mutations in the *SMN1* gene and at least 1 copy of the *SMN2* gene as shown by genetic testing. 5.2 Efficacy and safety have not been established in patients with 1 copy or 5 or more copies of the *SMN2* gene. If using EVRYSDI in these patients, the risks and benefits should be considered before starting treatment, and patients should be closely monitored.

\*2 The ability to walk was defined as having an HFMSE item 20 score of  $\geq$  2 at baseline.

## **Study Synopsis: Endpoints**

Primary endpoint	Change from baseline in MFM32 total score at Month 12
	<ul> <li>Percentage of patients with an improvement from baseline in MFM32 total score of 3 points or more at Month 12*</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in RULM total score at Month 12</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in HFMSE total score at Month 12</li> </ul>
Secondary	• Change from baseline in best percent predicted forced vital capacity (FVC) value at Month 12
Secondary endpoints	<ul> <li>Percentage of patients with general health improved versus baseline as assessed with CGI-C at Month 12</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in caregiver-reported SMAIS total score at Month 12 (supportive information)</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Safety and tolerability</li> </ul>
	<ul> <li>Pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, etc.</li> </ul>
Exploratory secondary	• Percentage of patients who achieve stability or improvement (change from baseline of $\geq 0$ points) in MFM32 total score at Month 12
endpoints	<ul> <li>Change from baseline in patient-reported SMAIS total score at Month 12 (supportive information), etc.</li> </ul>

\*Early dropouts and patients with missing data were handled as non-responders.

MFM : Motor Function Measure, RULM : Revised Upper Limb Module, HFMSE : Hammersmith Functional Motor Scale-Expanded CGI-C : Clinical Global Impression of Change, SMAIS : SMA Independence Scale

# Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of SUNFISH Study) Study Synopsis: Analysis Plan

- All 180 cases were included in the ITT and safety analysis populations, efficacy analysis was performed in the ITT population, and safety analysis was performed in the safety analysis population (4 of 180 cases, the study was discontinued to switch to other treatments and the double-blind administration period was not completed.)
- The data cutoff date (September 6, 2019) was defined as the time when the last enrolled patient completed the evaluation 12 months after administration (last visit date).
- MMRM was used to analyze the amount of change in the efficacy endpoint, and logistic regression model was used to analyze the proportion of patients.
- A gatekeeping procedure was applied to the primary endpoint and the six main secondary endpoints to control the multiplicity of tests (right figure).

#### Analysis plan

- The adjusted p-value was calculated so that the p-values of all evaluation items above the evaluation hierarchy were taken into consideration and the significance level could be compared at 0.05 on both sides.
- For Family 4, the truncated Hochberg method with a truncation parameter of 0.95 was used.
- A subpopulation analysis was performed by pre-defined randomized age group, region, severity (baseline MFM32 total score), disease type, and SMN2 gene copy number [primary endpoint: change from baseline in MFM32 total score at Month 12, secondary endpoints: percentage of participants with marked improvement (defined as ≥ 3) in the total MFM32 score at month 12, change from baseline in RULM total score, exploratory secondary endpoint: percentage of participants who achieve stabilization or improvement (change from baseline defined as ≥ 0) in the total MFM32 score at month 12]



#### Global Phase II/III study (Part 2 of SUNFISH) Clinical Pharmacology Data SMN Protein Production: SMA type II / III patients (Japanese and non-Japanese data)

SMN protein levels in blood at baseline and the last observation [median (range)] were 3.58 ng/mL (1.54-11.4) and 7.04 ng/mL (0.786-13.8), and the change from baseline at the last observation [median (range)] was 1.98-fold (0.359-4.25).

#### SMN protein level following risdiplam treatment in SMA type II / III patients



Assessment methods: SMA type II / III patients 2 to 25 years of age were started on once daily oral risdiplam (0.25 mg/kg for patients weighing < 20 kg and 5 mg for patients weighing  $\ge$  20 kg), and changes in SMN protein level were assessed at baseline and at the last observation.

#### Primary endpoint Change from baseline in MFM32 total score at Month 12

 The change from baseline in MFM32 total score was 1.36 points in the Evrysdi arm and -0.19 points in the placebo arm. The Evrysdi arm achieved a statistically significant improvement over the placebo arm.



Number of patients at baseline: 115 in Evrysdi arm and 59 in placebo arm

MMRM mode: Change from baseline in score = Baseline score + treatment group + time point + age group at randomization + treatment group-time point interactions + baseline score-time point interactions **Assessment methods:** MFM32 total scores were assessed and the change from baseline was calculated.

#### Secondary endpoint Change from baseline in RULM total score at Month 12

• The change from baseline in RULM total score was 1.61 points in the Evrysdi arm and 0.02 points in the placebo arm. The Evrysdi arm achieved a statistically significant improvement over the placebo arm.



Number of patients at baseline: 119 in Evrysdi arm and 58 in placebo arm

\*Calculated to factor in the p-values of all endpoints in hierarchical testing from endpoints in order of the hierarchy and to allow comparison of the level of significance at a two-sided value of 0.05.

MMRM mode: Change from baseline in score = Baseline score + treatment group + time point + age group at randomization + treatment group-time point interactions + baseline score-time point interactions

Assessment methods: RULM total scores were assessed and the change from baseline was calculated.

Secondary endpoint Change from baseline in HFMSE total score at Month 12

• The change from baseline in HFMSE total score was 0.95 points in the Evrysdi arm and 0.37 points in the placebo arm. The intergroup difference was not statistically significant.



\*Calculated to factor in the p-values of all endpoints in hierarchical testing from endpoints in order of the hierarchy and to allow comparison of the level of significance at a two-sided value of 0.05.

MMRM mode: Change from baseline in score = Baseline score + treatment group + time point + age group at randomization + treatment group-time point interactions + baseline score-time point interactions

Assessment methods: HFMSE total scores were assessed and the change from baseline was calculated.

## **Adverse Events and Adverse Drug Reactions**

	Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)		Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)	
Number of patients with	16 (13.3%)/21	6 (10.0%)/9	Nervous system disorders	2 (1.7%)/2	1 (1.7%)/1	
ADR/Number of ADRs*1			Headache	2 (1.7%)	1 (1.7%)	
Gastrointestinal disorders	6 (5.0%)/6	1 (1.7%)/1	Eye disorders	1 (0.8%)/2	0	
Nausea	2 (1.7%)	0	Posterior capsule		0	
Mouth ulceration	2 (1.7%)	0	opacification*2	1 (0.8%)	0	
Abdominal pain upper	1 (0.8%)	1 (1.7%)	Cataract subcapsular* <sup>2</sup>	1 (0.8%)	0	
Loose stool Skin and subcutaneous tissue	1 (0.8%)	0	Blood and lymphatic system disorders	0	1 (1.7%)/3	
disorders	6 (5.0%)/6	1 (1.7%)/1	Thrombocytopenia	0	1 (1.7%)	
Dermatitis acneiform	1 (0.8%)	0	Neutropenia	0	1 (1.7%)	
Eczema	1 (0.8%)	0	Leukopenia	0	1 (1.7%)	
Rash	1 (0.8%)	0	Cardiac disorders	1 (0.8%)/1	0	
Rash maculo-papular	1 (0.8%)	0	Palpitations	1 (0.8%)	0	
Dry skin	1 (0.8%)	0	Psychiatric disorders	0	1 (1.7%)/1	
Skin discolouration	1 (0.8%)	0	Sleep disorder	0	1 (1.7%)	
Dermatitis herpetiformis	0	1 (1.7%)	Metabolism and nutrition	1 (0.8%)/1	0	
Infections and infestations	3 (2.5%)/3	1 (1.7%)/2	disorders			
Upper respiratory tract infection	2 (1.7%)	0	Hypercholesterolaemia Safety analysis set	1 (0.8%)	0	
Gastroenteritis viral	1 (0.8%)	0	MedDRA version 22.0 *1 Multiple occurrences of an adverse	drug reaction in the same pa	itient were counted indiv	
Bronchitis	0	1 (1.7%)	when calculating the total number of events and number of events classified by so class. Multiple occurrences of an adverse drug reaction in the same patient were of once when calculating the number of adverse drug reactions classified by preferrer *2 The occurrences of posterior capsule opacification and subcapsular cataract noted i			
Respiratory tract infection	0	1 (1.7%)				

2 The occurrences of posterior capsule opacification and subcapsular cataract noted in the same patient were not handled as adverse events in the January 2020 cutoff data because they were absent in reevaluation that included additional red reflex evaluation.

## **Adverse Events and Adverse Drug Reactions**

		Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)	nyous system	Evrysdi arm (n=120)	Placebo arm (n=60)	Placebo arm (n=60)
Number of patients				nuc outom		"	1 (1.7%)/1
ADR/Number of AL	[Advers	se events]					1 (1.7%)
Gastrointestinal disc	Evrvsdi	arm: 789 events in 111	of 120 patients (92.)	5%),			0
Nausea		arm: 354 events in 55					0
Mouth ulceration	A seriou	s adverse event occurre	ed in 24 patients (20.	)%) in the Evrysd	i arm and 11	patients	-
Abdominal pain up		) in the placebo arm.				putiente	0
Faeces soft		rse events leading to w	ithdrawal or deaths w	ere reported.			1 (1.7%)/3
Skin and subcutane disorders	۲۵dverg	e drug reactions]				-	1 (1.7%)
Dermatitis acneifc	-	arm: 21 events in 16 of	f 120 patients (12 20/	١		-	1 (1.7%)
Eczema		arm: 9 events in 6 of 6		),		-	1 (1.7%)
Rash		n ADRs were nausea, m	,	r respiratory tract	infection an	d headache	0
Rash maculo-papı		2 patients (1.7%) in the	· · ·		infection, an		0
Dry skin		the placebo arm includ				ronchitis,	1 (1.7%)/1
Skin discolouration	and resp	piratory tract infection e	each in 1 patient (1.79	6) in the placebo a	arm.		1 (1.7%)
Dermatitis herpeti	No serio	us ADR or ADR leading	to withdrawal was re	ported.			0
Infections and infes						Safety analysis set	-
Upper respiratory infection		Source: Materials of Evry	vsdi for evaluation in regulatory revie	Surcey anarysis see		MedDRA version 22.0 tients (BP39055 Study)]	0
Gastroenteritis viral		1 (0.8%)	0	MedDRA version 22.0 *1 Multiple occurrence		g reaction in the same p	atient were counted individual
Bronchitis		0	1 (1.7%)	when calculating	the total number of	events and number of e	vents classified by system orga same patient were counted on
Respiratory tract infe	ection	0	1 (1.7%)	once when calcul	ating the number of	adverse drug reactions	classified by preferred term. sular cataract noted in the same

The occurrences of posterior capsule opacification and subcapsular cataract noted in the same patient were not handled as adverse events in the January 2020 cutoff data because they were absent in reevaluation that included additional red reflex evaluation.

## Evrysdi Dry Syrup 60 mg Generic name: Risdiplam dry syrup

Indication

Spinal muscular atrophy

### **Dosage and administration**

 $\geq$  2 months to < 2 years of age:

 $\geq$  2 years of age:

0.2mg/kg

< 20 kg: 0.25mg/kg ≥ 20 kg: 5mg

Orally administer after meal once daily



Source: Package insert revised in Aug, 2021 (Version 2) and Patient Medication Guide of Evrysdi Dry Syrup 60 mg

## **Precautions concerning Patients With Specific Backgrounds**

# Patients with Hepatic Impairment: Patients with severe hepatic Impairment

No clinical studies have been conducted in patients with severe hepatic impairment, and they may have increased risdiplam exposure.

#### **Females of reproductive potential**

The pregnancy status of females of reproductive potential should be verified prior to initiating Evrysdi therapy. Instruct female patients of childbearing potential to use appropriate contraception during treatment with Evrysdi and for a certain period after the last dose. Embryo-fetal toxicity has been observed in animal studies.

#### Male patients who have a partner of childbearing potential

Suspend treatment if the partner wishes to become pregnant. Instruct these patients to use appropriate contraception during treatment with Evrysdi and for a certain period after his last dose.

In animal studies (rat and cynomolgus monkey), reversible findings on male reproductive organs (sperm degeneration, reduced sperm numbers and decreased sperm motility) were observed. A micronucleus-inducing effect has been observed in a genotoxicity study.

Evrysdi Dry Syrup 60 mg (pmda.go.jp) (accessed in September 2021)

Package insert revised in Aug, 2021 (Version 2) and Patient Medication Guide of Evrysdi Dry Syrup 60 mg

## Warnings for Pregnancy and Breastfeeding



#### Information for women

As studies with animals showed that Evrysdi affects fetal and breast milk, breastfeeding could result in transfer of the active ingredient of the drug to the baby.

- Appropriate birth control is required during treatment and after treatment for a certain period (at least 1 month).
- Tell your doctor if you are or may be pregnant or are breastfeeding.



#### Information for men

Studies with animals showed that Evrysdi affects sperm changes and low sperm counts.

- Appropriate birth control is required during treatment and after treatment for a certain period (at least 4 months).
- Tell your doctor if you are a male patient with a partner of childbearing potential. If you and your partner wish to have a baby, appropriate birth control is required for a certain period (at least 4 months) after treatment discontinues.



## **Expectations for Evrysdi**

- Patients will be able to receive treatment regardless of their conditions\* (e.g., age, scoliosis).
- Since Evrysdi is an oral drug that does not require hospitalization for administration, home treatment can be provided.
- Evrysdi may reduce patients' time burden and opportunity loss (schooling, work)
- As SMA is a rare disease with limited data on the drug's efficacy and safety, it is hoped that data will be accumulated in the future. Until then, it is necessary to carefully observe the course of treatment.

# Based on these features, Evrysdi may help improve the QoL of all those living with SMA.

\* Efficacy and safety have not been established for patients with permanent mechanical ventilation and for preterm infants and infants <2 months of age.

# Conclusion

- 1) Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a refractory disease that causes progressive muscle atrophy and motor dysfunction due to degeneration of motor neurons of the spinal cord.
- 2) A regulatory approval was obtained as a result of evaluation of efficacy and safety in the global phase II/III study of Evrysdi, a disease modifier for type I, II and III SMA.
- 3) Evrysdi is the first oral drug for SMA.

## Forward-Looking Statements

This presentation may include forward-looking statements pertaining to the business and prospects of Chugai Pharmaceutical Co., Ltd. (the "Company"). These statements reflect the Company's current analysis of existing information and trends. Actual results may differ from expectations based on risks and uncertainties that may affect the Company's businesses.

Although this presentation includes information regarding pharmaceuticals (including products under development), the information is not intended as any advertisement and/or medical advice.

## **Notes and Contacts**

Please refrain from copying or reproducing this slides and using them for purposes other than this meeting.

### **Corporate Communications Dept.**

	For Media: Media Relations Group		
Tel :	+81 (0)3-3273-0881		
E-mail:	pr@chugai-pharm.co.jp		
Person in charge :	Tomoko Shimizu,  Chisato Miyoshi, Shumpei Yokoyama, Kaho Izumi, Mari Otsuka		
For Investors: Investor Relations Group			
	For Investors: Investor Relations Group		
Tel :	For Investors: Investor Relations Group +81 (0)3-3273-0554		
Tel: E-mail:			

## **INNOVATION BEYOND IMAGINATION**